arXiv:1411.4103v2 [math.SG] 7 Jan 2016

A note on the deformations of almost complex structures on closed four-manifolds *

Qiang Tan†, Hongyu Wang, Jiuru Zhou

Abstract: In this paper, we calculate the dimension of the J-anti-invariant cohomology subgroup H^-_J on $\mathbb{T}^4$. Inspired by the concrete example, $\mathbb{T}^4$, we get that: On a closed symplectic 4-dimensional manifold (M,ω), $h^-_J = 0$ for generic ω-compatible almost complex structures.

AMS Classification (2000): 53C55, 53D05.

Keywords: almost Kähler four-manifold, deformations of almost complex structures, dimension of J-anti-invariant cohomology.

1 Notations and main result

Let M be a closed oriented smooth $2n$-manifold. An almost complex structure on M is a differentiable endomorphism on the tangent bundle

$$J : TM \to TM \text{ with } J^2 = -id.$$

Suppose (M, J) is a closed almost complex manifold. One can construct a J-invariant Riemannian metric g on M. Such a metric g is called an almost Hermitian metric for (M, J). We must point out that the J-invariant Riemannian metric always exists, for example, we can construct g by

$$g(\cdot,\cdot) = \frac{1}{2}(h(\cdot,\cdot) + h(J\cdot, J\cdot))$$

for any Riemannian metric $h(\cdot,\cdot)$. This then in turn gives a J-compatible non-degenerate 2-form F by $F(X,Y) = g(JX,Y)$, called the fundamental 2-form. Such a quadruple (M, g, J, F) is called a closed almost Hermitian manifold. Thus an almost Hermitian structure on M is a triple (g, J, F).

Note that J acts on the space Ω^2 of 2-forms on M as an involution by

$$\alpha \longmapsto \alpha(J\cdot, J\cdot), \quad \alpha \in \Omega^2(M). \tag{1.1}$$

This gives the J-invariant, J-anti-invariant decomposition of 2-forms:

$$\Omega^2 = \Omega^+_J \oplus \Omega^-_J, \quad \alpha = \alpha^+_J + \alpha^-_J \tag{1.2}$$

*Supported by NSFC (China) Grants 11471145, 11401514 (Tan), 11371309 (Wang), 11426195 (Zhou).

†E-mail: tanqiang1986@hotmail.com

as well as the splitting of corresponding vector bundles

$$\Lambda^2 = \Lambda_J^+ \oplus \Lambda_J^-. \tag{1.3}$$

Definition 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{Z}^2$ denote the space of closed 2-forms on M and define*

$$\mathcal{Z}_J^+ \triangleq \mathcal{Z}^2 \cap \Omega_J^+, \quad \mathcal{Z}_J^- \triangleq \mathcal{Z}^2 \cap \Omega_J^-.$$

It is well known that, when J is integrable, $\beta \in \mathcal{Z}_J^-$ if and only if $J\beta \in \mathcal{Z}_J^-$. Conversely, if (M, J) is a connected almost complex 4-manifold and there exists nonzero $\beta \in \mathcal{Z}_J^-$ such that $J\beta \in \mathcal{Z}_J^-$, then J is integrable (see [18]).

For an almost complex manifold (M, J), T.-J. Li and W. Zhang [17] introduced subgroups, H_J^+ and H_J^-, of the real degree 2 de Rham cohomology group $H^2(M;\mathbb{R})$, as the sets of cohomology classes which can be represented by J-invariant and J-anti-invariant real 2-forms, respectively.

Definition 1.2. (cf. [7, 17]) *Define the J-invariant and J-anti-invariant cohomology subgroups $H_J^\pm$ by*

$$H_J^\pm = \{\mathfrak{a} \in H^2(M;\mathbb{R}) \mid \textit{there exists } \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^\pm \textit{ such that } \mathfrak{a} = [\alpha]\}.$$

We say J is C^∞ pure if $H_J^+ \cap H_J^- = \{0\}$, C^∞ full if $H_J^+ + H_J^- = H^2(M;\mathbb{R})$, and J is C^∞ pure and full if

$$H^2(M;\mathbb{R}) = H_J^+ \oplus H_J^-.$$

Let us denote by h_J^+ and h_J^- the dimensions of H_J^+ and H_J^-, respectively.

It is interesting to consider whether or not the subgroups H_J^+ and H_J^- induce a direct sum decomposition of $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$. This is known to be true for integrable almost complex structures J which admit compatible Kähler metrics on compact manifolds of any dimension. In this case, the induced decomposition is nothing but the classical real Hodge-Dolbeault decomposition of $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ (see [4]). However, for non-integrable case, this is true only for dimension 4. This is proved by T. Draghici, T.-J. Li and W. Zhang in [7].

Proposition 1.3. (cf. [7, Theorem 2.3]) *For any closed almost complex 4-manifold (M, J), J is C^∞ pure and full.*

Suppose (M, g, J, F) is a closed almost Hermitian 4-manifold, the Hodge star operator $*_g$ gives the well-known self-dual, anti-self-dual decomposition of 2-forms as well as the corresponding splitting of the bundle (see [5]):

$$\Omega^2 = \Omega_g^+ \oplus \Omega_g^-, \quad \alpha = \alpha_g^+ + \alpha_g^-; \tag{1.4}$$

$$\Lambda^2 = \Lambda_g^+ \oplus \Lambda_g^-. \tag{1.5}$$

Since the Hodge-de Rham-Laplace operator commutes with $*_g$, the decomposition (1.5) holds for the space $\mathcal{H}_g$ of harmonic 2-forms as well. By Hodge theory, this induces cohomology decomposition by the metric g:

$$H^2(M;\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathcal{H}_g = \mathcal{H}_g^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_g^-. \tag{1.6}$$

Similar to Definition 1.2, one defines

$$H_g^{\pm} = \{\mathfrak{a} \in H^2(M;\mathbb{R}) \mid \mathfrak{a} = [\alpha] \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_g^{\pm} := \mathcal{Z}^2 \cap \Omega_g^{\pm}\}. \tag{1.7}$$

It is easy to see that

$$H_g^{\pm} \cong \mathcal{Z}_g^{\pm} = \mathcal{H}_g^{\pm}$$

and (1.6) can be written as

$$H^2(M;\mathbb{R}) = H_g^+ \oplus H_g^-. \tag{1.8}$$

On an almost Hermitian 4-manifold, decompositions (1.3) and (1.5) are related as follows:

$$\Lambda_J^+ = \mathbb{R}F \oplus \Lambda_g^-, \tag{1.9}$$
$$\Lambda_g^+ = \mathbb{R}F \oplus \Lambda_J^-, \tag{1.10}$$
$$\Lambda_J^+ \cap \Lambda_g^+ = \mathbb{R}F, \quad \Lambda_J^- \cap \Lambda_g^- = \{0\}. \tag{1.11}$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{Z}_J^- \subset \mathcal{H}_g^+$ and $\mathcal{H}_g^- \subset \mathcal{Z}_J^+$. Let b_2, b^+ and b^- be the second, the self-dual and the anti-self-dual Betti number of M, respectively. Thus $b_2 = b^+ + b^-$. Moreover, there hold (see [7]):

$$H_J^- \cong \mathcal{Z}_J^-, \quad h_J^+ + h_J^- = b_2, \quad h_J^+ \geq b^-, \quad h_J^- \leq b^+. \tag{1.12}$$

Lejmi recognizes $\mathcal{Z}_J^-$ as the kernel of an elliptic operator on Ω_J^-.

Lemma 1.4. (cf. [14, 15]) *Let (M, g, J, F) be a closed almost Hermitian 4-manifold. Let operator $P : \Omega_J^- \to \Omega_J^-$ be defined by*

$$P(\psi) = P_J^-(d\delta_g\psi),$$

where $P_J^- : \Omega^2 \to \Omega_J^-$ is the projection, δ_g is the codifferential operator with respect to metric g. Then P is a self-adjoint strongly elliptic linear operator with kernel the g-harmonic J-anti-invariant 2-forms.

Hence one has the decomposition of Ω_J^-:

$$\Omega_J^- = \ker P \oplus P_J^-(d\Omega^1) = \mathcal{Z}_J^- \oplus P_J^-(d\Omega^1).$$

Remark 1.5. *As a classical result of Kodaira and Morrow (*[13, Theorem 4.3]*) showing the upper semi-continuity of the kernel of a family of elliptic differential operators, we know that h_J^- is a upper semi-continuous function under the deformation of almost complex structures.*

Let $H_J^{-,\perp}$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{H}_g^+$ which is orthogonal to H_J^- with respect to the cup product, that is,

$$H_J^{-,\perp} := \{\omega \in \mathcal{Z}_g^+ \mid \textstyle\int_M \omega \wedge \alpha = 0 \ \ \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_J^-\}. \tag{1.13}$$

By Lemma 1.4 and the results in [7, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1.6. *([19]) Let (M,g) be a closed Riemannian 4-manifold. If $\alpha \in \Omega^+_g$ and $\alpha = \alpha_{\rm h} + d\theta + \delta_g\psi$ is its Hodge decomposition, then $P^+_g(d\theta) = P^+_g(\delta_g\psi)$ and $P^-_g(d\theta) = -P^-_g(\delta_g\psi)$, where $P^\pm_g : \Omega^2 \to \Omega^\pm_g$ are the projections. Moreover, the 2-form $\alpha - 2P^+_g(d\theta) = \alpha_{\rm h}$ is harmonic and $\alpha + 2P^-_g(d\theta) = \alpha_{\rm h} + 2d\theta$. In particular, if (M,g,J,F) is a closed almost Hermitian 4-manifold and if $\alpha \in H^{-,\perp}_J$ is a self-dual harmonic 2-form, then $\alpha = fF + P^-_J(d\theta)$ for some function $f \not\equiv 0$ and $\alpha - d\theta \in \mathcal{Z}^+_J$.*

Remark 1.7. *As direct consequences of Lemmas 1.4 and 1.6, we have decompositions as self-dual harmonic 2-forms and as cohomology classes:*

$$\mathcal{H}^+_g = \mathcal{Z}^-_J \oplus H^{-,\perp}_J, \qquad H^+_J \cong H^{-,\perp}_J \oplus \mathcal{H}^-_g.$$

In [8], T. Draghici, T.-J. Li and W. Zhang computed the subgroups H^+_J and H^-_J and their dimensions h^+_J and h^-_J for almost complex structures metric related to an integrable one. Using Gauduchon metrics ([9]), they proved that the almost complex structures $\tilde{J}$ with $h^-_{\tilde{J}} = 0$ form an open dense set in the C^∞-Fréchet-topology in the space of almost complex structures metric related to an integrable one ([8, Theorem 1.1]). Based on this, they made a conjecture (Conjecture 2.4 in [8]) about the dimension h^-_J of H^-_J on a compact 4-manifold which asserts that h^-_J vanishes for generic almost complex structures J. In particular, they have confirmed their conjecture for 4-manifolds with $b^+ = 1$ ([8, Theorem 3.1]). Fortunately, in [19], Qiang Tan, Hongyu Wang, Ying Zhang and Peng Zhu confirmed the conjecture completely.

Proposition 1.8. *(*cf. [19, Theorem 1.1]*) Let M be a closed 4-manifold admitting almost complex structures. Then the set of almost complex structures J on M with $h^-_J = 0$ is an open dense subset of $\mathcal{J}$ in the C^∞-topology.*

A symplectic structure on a differentiable manifold is a nondegenerate closed 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2$. A differentiable manifold with some fixed symplectic structure is called a symplectic manifold. Suppose (M,ω) is a closed symplectic manifold. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the space of all almost complex structures on M and denote by $\mathcal{J}^{\rm c}_\omega$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\rm t}_\omega$ respectively the spaces of ω-compatible and ω-tamed almost complex structures on M.

$$\mathcal{J}^{\rm t}_\omega = \{J \in \mathcal{J} \mid \omega(X,JX) > 0, \forall X \in TM, X \neq 0\},$$
$$\mathcal{J}^{\rm c}_\omega = \{J \in \mathcal{J}^{\rm t}_\omega \mid \omega(JX,JY) = \omega(X,Y), \forall X,Y \in TM\}.$$

It is well known that $\mathcal{J}^{\rm c}_\omega$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\rm t}_\omega$ are contractible C^∞-Fréchet spaces and $\mathcal{J}^{\rm t}_\omega$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{J}$ in the C^∞-topology. See [2, 7, 8] for details. Then by Proposition 1.8, we know that the set of ω-tamed almost complex structures J on M with $h^-_J = 0$ is an open dense subset of $\mathcal{J}^{\rm t}_\omega$ in the C^∞-topology. In this paper we want to prove the compatible case:

Main Theorem: *Let (M,ω) be a closed symplectic 4-manifold. Then the set of ω-compatible almost complex structures J on M with $h^-_J = 0$ is an open dense subset of $\mathcal{J}^{\rm c}_\omega$ in the C^∞-topology.*

Remark 1.9. *In general, on a closed almost Kähler 4-manifold (M,g,J,ω), we have $0 \leq h^-_J \leq b^+ - 1$. If $h^-_J = b^+ - 1$, one has a generalized dd^c-lemma (cf.* [16]*),*

where the twisted differential d^c is defined by $d^c = (-1)^p JdJ$ acting on p-forms. Hence, we pose the following question: On a closed symplectic 4-manifold (M, ω), is there a ω-compatible almost complex structure J such that $h^-_J = b^+ - 1$?

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In §2, we make the deformation for the standard complex structure on torus $\mathbb{T}^4$. By this deformation, we give some interesting results on the standard torus $\mathbb{T}^4$. Finally in §3 we give the proof of our Main Theorem.

Acknowledgements. The first author would like to thank Professor Xiaojun Huang for his support. The first author also would like to thank Professors Tedi Draghici and Hongyu Wang for their patient guidance. The second author would like to thank East China Normal University and Professor Qing Zhou for hosting his visit in the spring semester in 2014. The authors dedicate this paper to the memory of Professor Ding Weiyue in deep appreciation for his long-term support of their work.

2 Almost complex structures on $\mathbb{T}^4$

In this section, we calculate the dimension of the J-anti-invariant cohomology subgroup on 4-torus under the deformation of ω-compatible almost complex structures. The following construction is a generalization of Example 2.6 in [20] which is constructed by T. Draghici and C. H. Taubes ([6, 22]). This example also explains the fact that the dimension of J-anti-invariant cohomology is not an invariant under the deformation of almost complex structures.

Example 2.1. Let $\mathbb{T}^4$ be the standard torus with coordinates $\{x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4\}$. Denote by (g_0, J_0, ω_0) the standard flat Kähler structure on $\mathbb{T}^4$, where

$$g_0 = \sum_i dx^i \otimes dx^i, \ \ \omega_0 = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + dx^3 \wedge dx^4.$$

So J_0 is given by

$$J_0 dx^1 = dx^2, \ \ J_0 dx^2 = -dx^1, \ \ J_0 dx^3 = dx^4, \ \ J_0 dx^4 = -dx^3.$$

Equivalently, J_0 may be given by specifying

$$\Lambda^-_{J_0} = Span\{dx^1 \wedge dx^3 - dx^2 \wedge dx^4, \ \ dx^1 \wedge dx^4 + dx^2 \wedge dx^3\}.$$

It is well known that $b^+ = b^- = 3$. Indeed, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^+_{g_0} = Span\{\omega_0, \ dx^1 \wedge dx^3 - dx^2 \wedge dx^4, \ dx^1 \wedge dx^4 + dx^2 \wedge dx^3\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^-_{g_0} = Span\{dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - dx^3 \wedge dx^4, \ dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + dx^2 \wedge dx^4, \ dx^1 \wedge dx^4 - dx^2 \wedge dx^3\}.$$

Further more, by a direct calculation, we can get

$$H^+_{J_0} = Span\{[\omega_0], \ [dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - dx^3 \wedge dx^4], \ [dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + dx^2 \wedge dx^4], \ [dx^1 \wedge dx^4 - dx^2 \wedge dx^3]\}$$

and

$$H^-_{J_0} = Span\{[dx^1 \wedge dx^3 - dx^2 \wedge dx^4],\ [dx^1 \wedge dx^4 + dx^2 \wedge dx^3]\}.$$

Hence, $h^+_{J_0} = 4$ and $h^-_{J_0} = 2$.

Suppose Ψ is a linear symplectomorphism. It is well known that if λ is a eigenvalue of Ψ then $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ occurs (cf. [11]). According to such a fact, we can construct the almost complex structures as follows. Let

$$A = e^{\sin 2\pi(x^1+x^3)},\ \ B = e^{\sin 2\pi(x^1+x^4)},\ \ C = e^{\frac{1}{2}[\sin 2\pi(x^1+x^3) - \sin 2\pi(x^1+x^4)]}$$

and

$$D = e^{-\frac{1}{2}[\sin 2\pi(x^1+x^3) + \sin 2\pi(x^1+x^4)]}.$$

Obviously, $C^2 = \frac{A}{B}$ and $D^2 = \frac{1}{AB}$. Consider the almost complex structure J given by

$$Jdx^1 = Cdx^2,\ \ Jdx^2 = -\frac{1}{C}dx^1,\ \ Jdx^3 = Ddx^4,\ \ Jdx^4 = -\frac{1}{D}dx^3,$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^+_J \ \ = \ \ & Span\{dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + dx^3 \wedge dx^4, dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - dx^3 \wedge dx^4,\\ & Bdx^1 \wedge dx^3 + dx^2 \wedge dx^4, dx^1 \wedge dx^4 - Adx^2 \wedge dx^3\},\end{aligned}$$

$$\Lambda^-_J = Span\{dx^1 \wedge dx^4 + Adx^2 \wedge dx^3, Bdx^1 \wedge dx^3 - dx^2 \wedge dx^4\},$$

and J is compatible with ω_0. The corresponding metric is

$$g(\cdot,\cdot) = \omega_0(\cdot, J\cdot) = \frac{1}{C}dx^1 \otimes dx^1 + Cdx^2 \otimes dx^2 + \frac{1}{D}dx^3 \otimes dx^3 + Ddx^4 \otimes dx^4.$$

Direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^+_g \ \ = \ \ & Span\{\omega_0,\ \ (\frac{1}{1+A} - c_A)\omega_0 + \frac{1}{1+A}(dx^1 \wedge dx^4 + Adx^2 \wedge dx^3),\\ & (\frac{1}{1+B} - c_B)\omega_0 + \frac{1}{1+B}(Bdx^1 \wedge dx^3 - dx^2 \wedge dx^4)\},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_A = \int_{\mathbb{T}^4} \frac{1}{1+A} dvol \ \text{ and } \ c_B = \int_{\mathbb{T}^4} \frac{1}{1+B} dvol.$$

Obviously,

$$[(\frac{1}{1+A} - c_A)\omega_0 + \frac{1}{1+A}(dx^1 \wedge dx^4 + Adx^2 \wedge dx^3)] \wedge \omega_0 = (\frac{1}{1+A} - c_A)\omega_0^2 \not\equiv 0$$

and

$$[(\frac{1}{1+B} - c_B)\omega_0 + \frac{1}{1+B}(Bdx^1 \wedge dx^3 - dx^2 \wedge dx^4)] \wedge \omega_0 = (\frac{1}{1+B} - c_B)\omega_0^2 \not\equiv 0.$$

Note that $\mathcal{Z}^-_J \subset \mathcal{H}^+_g$ and for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}^-_J$, we must have $\alpha \wedge \omega_0 \equiv 0$, so we can obtain $\mathcal{Z}^-_J = \varnothing$ which implies that $h^-_J = 0$ and $h^+_J = 6$. By the definition of $H^{-,\perp}_J$ and Remark 1.7, we know that both

$$[(\frac{1}{1+A} - c_A)\omega_0 + \frac{1}{1+A}(dx^1 \wedge dx^4 + Adx^2 \wedge dx^3)]$$

and

$$[(\frac{1}{1+B}-c_B)\omega_0+\frac{1}{1+B}(Bdx^1\wedge dx^3-dx^2\wedge dx^4)]$$

are in $H_J^{-,\perp}$.

Denote by $e^i\triangleq dx^i$ and $e^{ij}\triangleq dx^i\wedge dx^j$. Let

$$\omega_0=e^{12}+e^{34},\qquad \alpha_0=e^{12}-e^{34},$$

$$\omega_1=(\frac{1}{1+A}-c_A)\omega_0+\frac{1}{1+A}(e^{14}+Ae^{23}),$$

$$\alpha_1=(\frac{1}{1+A}-c_A)\alpha_0+\frac{1}{1+A}(e^{14}-Ae^{23}),$$

$$\omega_2=(\frac{1}{1+B}-c_B)\omega_0+\frac{1}{1+B}(Be^{13}-e^{24}),$$

and

$$\alpha_2=(\frac{1}{1+B}-c_B)\alpha_0+\frac{1}{1+B}(Be^{13}+e^{24}).$$

Consider the element $e^{13}-e^{24}$ which is J_0-anti-invariant. Since

$$(e^{13}-e^{24})\wedge\omega_0=0,\ \ (e^{13}-e^{24})\wedge\omega_1=0$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^4}(e^{13}-e^{24})\wedge\omega_2=\int_{\mathbb{T}^4}e^{1234}=1,$$

by Hodge decomposition (cf. pp. 10 in [5]), we can get

$$P_g^+(e^{13}-e^{24})=\frac{1}{\parallel\omega_2\parallel^2_{L^2(g)}}\omega_2+d_g^+\gamma_1. \tag{2.1}$$

On the other hand,

$$(e^{13}-e^{24})\wedge\alpha_0=0,\ \ (e^{13}-e^{24})\wedge\alpha_1=0$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^4}(e^{13}-e^{24})\wedge\alpha_2=\int_{\mathbb{T}^4}\frac{B-1}{B+1}e^{1234}\triangleq a,$$

so we have

$$P_g^-(e^{13}-e^{24})=\frac{a}{\parallel\alpha_2\parallel^2_{L^2(g)}}\alpha_2+d_g^-\gamma_2. \tag{2.2}$$

Here $d_g^+\triangleq P_g^+\circ d$, $d_g^-\triangleq P_g^-\circ d$ and $\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\Omega^1$. By (2.1) and (2.2), we can get the following equation

$$e^{13}-e^{24}=\frac{1}{\parallel\omega_2\parallel^2_{L^2}}\omega_2+\frac{a}{\parallel\alpha_2\parallel^2_{L^2}}\alpha_2+d_g^+\gamma_1+d_g^-\gamma_2. \tag{2.3}$$

Since $e^{13}-e^{24}$, ω_2 and α_2 are all closed, $d(d_g^+\gamma_1+d_g^-\gamma_2)=0$. Additionally,

$$0=(e^{13}-e^{24})\wedge\omega_0=\frac{1}{\parallel\omega_2\parallel^2_{L^2}}(\frac{1}{1+B}-c_B)\omega_0^2+d_g^+\gamma_1\wedge\omega_0,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_g^+\gamma_1 &= -\frac{1}{\| \omega_2 \|^2_{L^2}}(\frac{1}{1+B}-c_B)\omega_0 + P_J^-(d_g^+\gamma_1) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\| \omega_2 \|^2_{L^2}}(\frac{1}{1+B}-c_B)\omega_0 + d_J^-\gamma_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$P_g^+(e^{14}+e^{23}) = \frac{1}{\| \omega_1 \|^2_{L^2(g)}}\omega_1 + d_g^+\theta_1$$

and

$$P_g^-(e^{14}+e^{23}) = \frac{b}{\| \alpha_1 \|^2_{L^2(g)}}\alpha_1 + d_g^-\theta_2,$$

where $\theta_1,\ \theta_2 \in \Omega^1$ and $b \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{T}^4}\frac{A-1}{A+1}e_{1234}$. So

$$e^{14}+e^{23} = \frac{1}{\| \omega_1 \|^2_{L^2}}\omega_1 + \frac{b}{\| \alpha_1 \|^2_{L^2}}\alpha_1 + d_g^+\theta_1 + d_g^-\theta_2, \tag{2.4}$$

and $d(d_g^+\theta_1 + d_g^-\theta_2) = 0$. □

For a better understanding of the relationship between the cohomologies, please see the following table.

$\mathcal{H}^+_{g_0}$	$\omega_0,\quad e^{13}-e^{24},\quad e^{14}+e^{23}$
$\mathcal{H}^-_{g_0}$	$e^{12}-e^{34},\quad e^{13}+e^{24},\quad e^{14}-e^{23}$
$H^+_{J_0}$	$[\omega_0],\quad [e^{12}-e^{34}],\quad [e^{13}+e^{24}],\quad [e^{14}-e^{23}]$
$H^-_{J_0}$	$[e^{13}-e^{24}],\quad [e^{14}+e^{23}]$
$\mathcal{H}^+_g$	$\omega_0,\quad (\frac{1}{1+A}-c_A)\omega_0+\frac{1}{1+A}(e^{14}+Ae^{23})$ $(\frac{1}{1+B}-c_B)\omega_0+\frac{1}{1+B}(Be^{13}-e^{24})$
$\mathcal{H}^-_g$	$e^{12}-e^{34},\quad (\frac{1}{1+A}-c_A)(e^{12}-e^{34})+\frac{1}{1+A}(e^{14}-Ae^{23}),$ $(\frac{1}{1+B}-c_B)(e^{12}-e^{34})+\frac{1}{1+B}(Be^{13}+e^{24})$
H^+_J	$[\omega_0],\quad [e^{12}-e^{34}],$ $[(\frac{1}{1+A}-c_A)(e^{12}-e^{34})+\frac{1}{1+A}(e^{14}-Ae^{23})],$ $[(\frac{1}{1+B}-c_B)(e^{12}-e^{34})+\frac{1}{1+B}(Be^{13}+e^{24})],$ $[(\frac{1}{1+A}-c_A)\omega_0+\frac{1}{1+A}(e^{14}+Ae^{23})],$ $[(\frac{1}{1+B}-c_B)\omega_0+\frac{1}{1+B}(Be^{13}-e^{24})]$
H^-_J	0

Table 1. Bases for $H^+_{J_0}$, $H^-_{J_0}$, H^+_J, etc. of $\mathbb{T}^4$.

By the above example, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathbb{T}^4$ be the standard torus and (g_0, J_0, ω_0) be a standard flat Kähler structure on $\mathbb{T}^4$. Set*

$$A_k = e^{\frac{1}{k}\sin 2\pi(x^1+x^3)},\ \ B_k = e^{\frac{1}{k}\sin 2\pi(x^1+x^4)},\ \ C_k = (\frac{A_k}{B_k})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and $D_k = (\frac{1}{A_kB_k})^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Construct the almost Kähler structures (g_k, J_k, ω_0) by

$$J_kdx^1 = C_kdx^2,\ \ J_kdx^2 = -\frac{1}{C_k}dx^1,\ \ J_kdx^3 = D_kdx^4,\ \ J_kdx^4 = -\frac{1}{D_k}dx^3,$$

and $g_k(\cdot,\cdot) = \omega_0(\cdot, J_k\cdot)$. Then $J_k \to J_0$, $g_k \to g_0$ as $k \to \infty$. However, $h^-_{J_k} = 0$ and $h^+_{J_k} = 6$.

3 Proof of main result

In this section we prove the Main Theorem. Let us first describe the C^∞-topology on the space $\mathcal{J}^\infty$ of C^∞ almost complex structures on M. For $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, the space $\mathcal{J}^k$ of C^k almost complex structures on M has a natural separable Banach manifold structure. The natural C^∞-topology on $\mathcal{J}^\infty$ is induced by the sequence of C^k semi-norms $\|\cdot\|_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. With this C^∞-topology, $\mathcal{J}^\infty$ is a Fréchet manifold. A complete metric which induces the C^∞-topology on $\mathcal{J}^\infty$ is defined by

$$d(J_1, J_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|J_1 - J_2\|_k}{2^k(1 + \|J_1 - J_2\|_k)}.$$

For details, see [2, 8]. At first, we will prove the openness statement of Main Theorem. Please see the following proposition (cf. [19, the proof of Theorem 1.1]):

Proposition 3.1. *Let (M, g, J, ω) be a closed almost Kähler 4-manifold. Suppose that $J_k \to J$ in the Fréchet space as $k \to \infty$ and that $h^-_{J_k} \geq 1$, where J_k are ω-compatible almost complex structures on M, then $h^-_J \geq 1$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the the proof of the openness statement in Theorem 1.1 in [19]. Suppose that $J_k \to J$ in the Fréchet space as $k \to \infty$ and

$$m_k := h^-_{J_k} \geq 1.$$

We need to prove that $h^-_J \geq 1$. Since J_k are ω-compatible almost complex structures with $h^-_{J_k} \geq 1$, set J_k-compatible metrics

$$g_k = \omega(\cdot, J_k\cdot).$$

Then $(g_k, J_k) \to (g, J)$ as $k \to \infty$.

It is well known that the L^p_s-Sobolev norms induced by different metrics on a compact manifold are equivalent (cf. [1, Theorem 2.20]). Hence, for any $\psi \in \Omega^2(M)$, for the L^2_s-norms induced by g and g_k, there exist constants $0 < a^0_k \leq b^0_k$ and $0 < a^1_k \leq b^1_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$a^0_k \|\psi\|_{L^2_s(g_k)} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^2_s(g)} \leq b^0_k \|\psi\|_{L^2_s(g_k)} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$a^1_k \|\psi\|_{L^2_s(g)} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^2_s(g_k)} \leq b^1_k \|\psi\|_{L^2_s(g)}. \tag{3.2}$$

As $g_k \to g$ in the Fréchet topology, there are uniform bounds $0 < a^0 \leq a^0_k \leq b^0_k \leq b^0$ and $0 < a^1 \leq a^1_k \leq b^1_k \leq b^1$. Let ϕ_k be smooth g_k-harmonic J_k-anti-invariant 2-forms. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\|\phi_k\|_{L^2(g)} = 1$. By (3.2) applied for L^2-norms, we can get that the sequence $\{\|\phi_k\|_{L^2(g_k)}\}$ is bounded. Since M is compact and ϕ_k are g_k-harmonic, there exists constants c_k depending on the third derivatives of g_k such that

$$\|\phi_k\|_{L^2_2(g_k)} \leq c_k \|\phi_k\|_{L^2(g_k)}.$$

Since $g_k \to g$ in the Fréchet topology, $g_k \to g$ in C^3, the constants c_k are uniformly bounded. Thus, the sequence $\{\|\phi_k\|_{L^2_2(g_k)}\}$ is bounded. Therefore, applying (3.1)

for L^2_2-norms, the sequence $\{\phi_k\}$ is bounded in $L^2_2(g)$. Using Sobolev embedding theorem, we can choose a convergent subsequence $\{\phi_{k_1}\}$ of $\{\phi_k\}$ such that

$$\phi_{k_1} \to \phi$$

in $L^2_1(g)$ as $k_1 \to \infty$. By the normalization condition, $\|\phi\|_{L^2(g)} = 1$, which implies that $\phi \neq 0$. Since $d(\phi_{k_1}) = 0$ and $\phi_{k_1} \to \phi$ in $L^2_1(g)$, we have $d\phi = 0$. Moreover, ϕ_{k_1} are J_{k_1}-anti-invariant, i.e.,

$$\phi_{k_1}(\cdot,\cdot) = -\phi_{k_1}(J_{k_1}\cdot, J_{k_1}\cdot).$$

Taking the limit of both sides of the above equation, we can get

$$\phi(\cdot,\cdot) = -\phi(J\cdot, J\cdot),$$

i.e., ϕ is J-anti-invariant. In particular, ϕ is harmonic with respect to the metric g. Hence, ϕ is smooth by elliptic regularity and $h^-_J \geq 1$. This completes the proof of this Proposition. □

With the above Proposition, we can get the openness statement in Main Theorem. It remains to prove the denseness statement of Main Theorem. In the following section, we will give the proof of the denseness statement.

Suppose (M, g, J, ω) is a closed almost Kähler 4-manifold. To prove the denseness statement, we may consider a family J_t of almost complex structures on M which is a deformation of J, that is, $J_t \to J$ in the C^∞-topology as $t \to 0$. If $h^-_J = 0$, then as noted in [8], we can establish path-wise semi-continuity property for h^-_J which follows directly from Lemma 1.4 and a classical result of Kodaira and Morrow ([13, Theorem 4.3]) showing the upper semi-continuity of the kernel of a family of elliptic differential operators. Therefore $h^-_{J_t} = 0$ for small t.

We now assume that $m \triangleq h^-_J \geq 1$. Let $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{Z}^-_J$ be such that $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_m$ is an orthonormal unit basis of $H^-_J (\cong \mathcal{Z}^-_J)$ with respect to the cup product. Clearly, $1 \leq m \leq b^+ - 1$. Define $H^{-,\perp}_{J,0} \subset \mathcal{H}^+_g$ to be

$$H^{-,\perp}_{J,0} \triangleq \{\beta = f\omega + d^-_J\gamma : \gamma \in \Omega^1 \text{ and } \int_M f dvol_g = 0\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{H}^+_g = \mathbb{R}\cdot\omega \oplus \mathcal{Z}^-_J \oplus H^{-,\perp}_{J,0}.$$

By making the deformation of ω-compatible almost complex structures on (M, ω), we have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.2. *Let (M, g, J, ω) be a closed almost Kähler 4-manifold with $h^-_J \geq 1$. There exists a sequence of ω-compatible almost complex structures, $\{J_{k_m}\}$, on M such that $J_{k_m} \to J$ as $k_m \to \infty$ and $h^-_{J_{k_m}} = 0$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $1 \leq h^-_J = m < b^+ - 1$, then let $\{\beta_j = f_j\omega + d^-_J\gamma_j\}$, $1 \leq j \leq l(\triangleq b^+ - 1 - m)$, be an orthonormal unit basis of

$H^{-,\perp}_{J,0}$ with respect to the cup product. We will find that $\int_M f_j dvol = 0$ and $f_j \not\equiv 0$. Set

$$S_J := \{\beta \in H^{-,\perp}_{J,0} \mid \int_M \beta^2 = 1\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Then S_J is a sphere of dimension $l-1$. Define a function $V : S_J \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows: for any $\beta = f\omega + d^-_J\gamma \in S_J$,

$$V(\beta) := \mathrm{vol}\,(M \setminus f^{-1}(0)) = \int_{M\setminus f^{-1}(0)} dvol_g. \tag{3.4}$$

Denote by

$$\mu_J \triangleq \inf_{\beta\in S_J} V(\beta). \tag{3.5}$$

By the result in [19] (cf. [19, formula (3.5)]), we know that $\mu_J > 0$. Note that if $h^-_J = b^+ - 1$, then $\mu_J = \mathrm{vol}(M)$. Fix a point $p \in M$, the fundamental theorem of Darboux [2] shows that there are a neighborhood U_p of p and diffeomorphism Φ from U_p onto $\Phi(U_p) \subset \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{R}^4$ such that $\omega|_{U_p} = \Phi^*\omega_0$, where $\Phi(p) = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and

$$\omega_0 \quad = \quad \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}(dz_1 \wedge d\overline{z_1} + dz_2 \wedge d\overline{z_2}).$$

We can choose U_p small enough such that $\mathrm{vol}(U_p) < \frac{1}{3}\mu_J$. Denote by J_p the pull back of J_0 on U_p, that is, $J_p \triangleq \Phi^*J_0$, where J_0 is the standard complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^2$. At point p, we have $J(p) = J_p(p)$. Set $g_p(\cdot,\cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J_p\cdot)$ on U_p. On the other hand, we konw that $g(\cdot,\cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$. So we can get

$$g|_{u_p} = g_p|_{u_p} \cdot e^h,$$

here h is a symmetric J-anti-invariant $(2,0)$ tensor (cf. [12]). Construct metric

$$g'_k = g_p \cdot e^{(1-\varphi_k)h}$$

on U_p, where

$$\varphi_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \le \frac{1}{k} \\ \\ 0, & |x| \ge \frac{2}{k}. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

We can extend g'_k to the whole manifold by $g'_k|_{|x|\le\frac{1}{k}} = g_p$ and $g'_k|_{M\setminus\{|x|\le\frac{2}{k}\}} = g$. By g'_k and ω, we can get the unique almost complex structure J'_k such that (g'_k, J'_k, ω) is an almost Kähler structure on M, and $g'_k \to g, J'_k \to J$ as $k \to \infty$.

We know that if $h^-_J < b^+ - 1$, then $H^{-,\perp}_{J,0} \neq \emptyset$. Since

$$\Lambda^+_g = \mathbb{R}\omega \oplus \Lambda^-_J,\ \ \Lambda^+_J = \mathbb{R}\omega \oplus \Lambda^-_g,\ \ \Lambda^+_{g'_k} = \mathbb{R}\omega \oplus \Lambda^-_{J'_k},\ \ \Lambda^+_{J'_k} = \mathbb{R}\omega \oplus \Lambda^-_{g'_k}$$

and

$$\Lambda^-_{J'_k} \oplus \Lambda^-_{g'_k} = \Lambda^-_J \oplus \Lambda^-_g,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_j &= f_j\omega + P^-_{J'_k} d^-_J\gamma_j + P^+_{J'_k} d^-_J\gamma_j \\ &= f_j\omega + P^-_{J'_k} d^-_J\gamma_j + P^-_{g'_k} d^-_J\gamma_j. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Since $g'_k|_{M\setminus\{|x|\leq\frac{2}{k}\}} = g$, we get $P^-_{g'_k} d^-_J \gamma_j|_{M\setminus\{|x|\leq\frac{2}{k}\}} \equiv 0$. Moreover, $P^-_{g'_k} d^-_J \gamma_j \not\equiv 0$ on $\{|x| \leq \frac{2}{k}\}$ and $P^-_{g'_k} d^-_J \gamma_j|_{\{|x|=\frac{2}{k}\}} = 0$. Let $D' = \{|x| \leq \frac{2}{k}\}$. By [5], we know that

$$P^-_{g'_k} d\delta_{g'_k} : \Omega^-_{g'_k}(D') \longrightarrow \Omega^-_{g'_k}(D')$$

is a self–adjoint strongly elliptic operator. Hence we can solve the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} P^-_{g'_k} d\delta_{g'_k} \eta_{j,k} = P^-_{g'_k} d^-_J \gamma_j, \quad \text{on } D' \\ \eta_{j,k}|_{\partial D'} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

By Gilbarg and Trudinger's theory [10], there exists a unique solution $\eta_{j,k} \in \Omega^-_{g'_k}(D')$ satisfying Equations (3.8). Therefore,

$$\beta_j = f_j\omega + P^-_{J'_k} d^-_J \gamma_j + d\delta_{g'_k}\eta_{j,k} - d^+_{g'_k}\delta_{g'_k}\eta_{j,k},$$

$1 \leq j \leq l$. Denote by $\tilde{\beta}_j \triangleq \beta_j - d\delta_{g'_k}\eta_{j,k} \in \mathcal{H}^+_{g'_k}$, since

$$\tilde{\beta}_j = f_j\omega + P^-_{J'_k} d^-_J \gamma_j - d^+_{g'_k}\delta_{g'_k}\eta_{j,k} \in \Omega^+_{g'_k}$$

and $d\tilde{\beta}_j = 0$, then $[\tilde{\beta}_j] = [\beta_j]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta}_j|_{M\setminus\{|x|\leq\frac{2}{k}\}} &= \beta_j|_{M\setminus\{|x|\leq\frac{2}{k}\}} \\ &= (f_j\omega + d^-_J\gamma_j)|_{M\setminus\{|x|\leq\frac{2}{k}\}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Since $\mathrm{vol}(M \setminus f_j^-(0)) \geq \mu_J$ and $\mathrm{vol}(U_p) < \frac{1}{3}\mu_J$, we get, on $M \setminus U_p$,

$$\tilde{\beta}_j \wedge \omega = \beta_j \wedge \omega = f_j\omega^2 \not\equiv 0.$$

Hence $\tilde{\beta}_j$ contains non-trivial element $\tilde{\beta}_{j,k} = \tilde{f}_{j,k}\omega + P^-_{J'_k} d\tilde{\gamma}_{j,k} \in H^{-,\perp}_{J'_k,0}$, where $\int_M \tilde{f}_{j,k} dvol_{g'_k} = 0$ and $\mathrm{vol}\left((M \setminus \tilde{f}^{-1}_{j,k}(0)) \cap (M \setminus U_p)\right) \geq \frac{2}{3}\mu_J$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$. So $\dim \mathcal{Z}^-_{J'_k} \leq m$, $\dim H^{-,\perp}_{J'_k,0} \geq l$ and $Span\{\tilde{\beta}_{1,k}, \cdots, \tilde{\beta}_{l,k}\} \subseteq H^{-,\perp}_{J'_k,0}$.

On U_p, we may deform J'_k to J_k which constructed similarly as the one in Example 2.1, and then by using gluing operation (cf. [21, 23]), we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3. *There exists a sequence of ω-compatible almost complex structures $\{J_k\}$ such that $J_k \to J$ as $k \to \infty$ and $H^{-,\perp}_{J'_k,0}$ is cohomologous to the subset of $H^{-,\perp}_{J_k,0}$. Hence* $\dim H^{-,\perp}_{J,0} \leq \dim H^{-,\perp}_{J'_k,0} \leq \dim H^{-,\perp}_{J_k,0} - 1$*, that is,* $h^-_J - 1 \geq h^-_{J'_k} - 1 \geq h^-_{J_k}$.

The above lemma will be proved later.

Remark 3.4. *In the process of proving* [19, Theorem 1.1] *which can be considered as the taming case, the following proposition play a key role. Suppose that (M, g, J, ω) is a closed almost Kähler 4-manifold. If J' is a g-related almost complex structure on M with $J' \neq \pm J$, then* $\dim(H^-_J \cap H^-_{J'}) \leq 1$ *(*cf. [8, Proposition 3.7]*). But here, the compatible case, the above proposition does not work. Fortunately,* Lemma 3.3 *will play a key role in the proof of our* Main Theorem.

Now, let us return to the proof of Proposition 3.2. With Lemma 3.3, we denote by $J_{k_1} \triangleq J_k$. Similar to the above discussion, after finite steps (at most for $m-1$ steps), we can construct almost complex structures J_{k_m} such that $J_{k_m} \to J$ as $k_m \to \infty$ and $\dim H^{-,\perp}_{J_{k_m},0} = b^+ - 1$, that is, $h^-_{J_{k_m}} = 0$. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2. □

From Proposition 3.1 and 3.2, it is easy to get Main Theorem.

In the remainder section, we will give the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. We have obtained $h^-_{J'_k} \leq h^-_J = m$ and $\dim H^{-,\perp}_{J'_k,0} \geq l$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\dim H^{-,\perp}_{J'_k,0} = l$ and $\dim \mathcal{Z}^-_{J'_k} = m$. Let $\alpha'_1, \cdots, \alpha'_m \in \mathcal{Z}^-_{J'_k}$ be such that $\alpha'_1, \cdots, \alpha'_m$ is an orthonormal unit basis of $\mathcal{Z}^-_{J'_k}$ with respect to the cup product. Suppose $\{\beta'_1, \cdots, \beta'_l\}$ is an orthonormal unit basis of $H^{-,\perp}_{J'_k,0}$ with respect to the cup product. Note that $H^{-,\perp}_{J'_k,0}$ is also spanned by $\{\tilde{\beta}_{1,k}, \cdots, \tilde{\beta}_{l,k}\}$. Hence, $\mu_{J'_k} \geq \frac{2}{3}\mu_J$. Since $J'_k|_{|x|\leq\frac{1}{k}} = J_p$, then $\alpha'_m|_{|x|\leq\frac{1}{k}}$ can be written as

$$\alpha'_m|_{|x|\leq\frac{1}{k}} = L_1(x)(dx^1 \wedge dx^3 - dx^2 \wedge dx^4) + L_2(x)(dx^1 \wedge dx^4 + dx^2 \wedge dx^3),$$

where $L_1(x)$ and $L_2(x)$ are smooth functions on $\{|x| \leq \frac{1}{k}\}$. By C. Bär's result (cf. [3]), the set $\alpha'^{-1}_m(0)$ has Hausdorff dimension ≤ 2. So we can choose a small open set $V \subseteq \{|x| \leq \frac{1}{k}\}$ such that $L_1^2(x) + L_2^2(x)|_V > 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume $V = \{|x| \leq \frac{1}{k}\}$ and $L_1 \not\equiv 0$ on V. We make a rotation for the Darboux coordinates $\{x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4\}$ such that

$$dx^1 = d\xi^1 \cos\theta_1 - d\xi^2 \sin\theta_1, \;\; dx^2 = d\xi^1 \sin\theta_1 + d\xi^2 \cos\theta_1,$$

$$dx^3 = d\xi^3 \cos\theta_2 - d\xi^4 \sin\theta_2, \;\; dx^4 = d\xi^3 \sin\theta_2 + d\xi^4 \cos\theta_2.$$

We can find that $\omega|_{|x|\leq\frac{1}{k}} = d\xi^1 \wedge d\xi^2 + d\xi^3 \wedge d\xi^4$ and $|\xi| = |x|$.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha'_m|_{|x|\leq\frac{1}{k}} \;\; = \;\; & [L_1\cos(\theta_1+\theta_2) + L_2\sin(\theta_1+\theta_2)](d\xi^1 \wedge d\xi^3 - d\xi^2 \wedge d\xi^4) \\ & +[L_2\cos(\theta_1+\theta_2) - L_1\sin(\theta_1+\theta_2)](d\xi^1 \wedge d\xi^4 + d\xi^2 \wedge d\xi^3).\end{aligned}$$

Choose some θ_1, θ_2 such that $L_2\cos(\theta_1+\theta_2) = L_1\sin(\theta_1+\theta_2)$. So

$$\alpha'_m|_{|\xi|\leq\frac{1}{k}} = L(d\xi^1 \wedge d\xi^3 - d\xi^2 \wedge d\xi^4),$$

where $L \triangleq L_1\cos(\theta_1+\theta_2) + L_2\sin(\theta_1+\theta_2)$. Since $d\alpha'_m = 0$, by C. Bär's result (cf. [3]), we obtain that L is a nonzero constant on $\{|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{k}\}$. Let

$$A_k = e^{\phi_k(\xi)\sin 2\pi(\xi^1+\xi^3)}, \;\; B_k = e^{\phi_k(\xi)\sin 2\pi(\xi^1+\xi^4)},$$

$$C_k = e^{\frac{\phi_k(\xi)}{2}\sin 2\pi(\xi^1+\xi^3) - \frac{\phi_k(\xi)}{2}\sin 2\pi(\xi^1+\xi^4)}$$

and

$$D_k = e^{-\frac{\phi_k(\xi)}{2}\sin 2\pi(\xi^1+\xi^3) - \frac{\phi_k(\xi)}{2}\sin 2\pi(\xi^1+\xi^4)}.$$

Here

$$\phi_k(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\xi| \leq \frac{1}{2k} \\ \\ 0, & |\xi| \geq \frac{1}{k}. \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

Define almost complex structure J_k as follows: $J_k|_{|\xi|\geq\frac{1}{k}} = J'_k$ and on $|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{k}$,

$$J_k d\xi^1 = C_k d\xi^2, \;\; J_k d\xi^2 = -\frac{1}{C_k} d\xi^1, \;\; J_k d\xi^3 = D_k d\xi^4, \;\; J_k d\xi^4 = -\frac{1}{D_k} d\xi^3.$$

It is easy to see that J_k is compatible with ω. Moreover, on $|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{k}$, we have

$$\Lambda^-_{J_k} = Span\{B_k d\xi^1 \wedge d\xi^3 - d\xi^2 \wedge d\xi^4,\ \ d\xi^1 \wedge d\xi^4 + A_k d\xi^2 \wedge d\xi^3\}.$$

Set

$$g_k \triangleq \omega(\cdot, J_k \cdot) = \frac{1}{C_k} d\xi^1 \otimes d\xi^1 + C_k d\xi^2 \otimes d\xi^2 + \frac{1}{D_k} d\xi^3 \otimes d\xi^3 + D_k d\xi^4 \otimes d\xi^4.$$

Then $g_k \to g, J_k \to J$ as $k \to \infty$. It is well known that

$$\Lambda^-_{J_k} \oplus \Lambda^-_{g_k} = \Lambda^-_J \oplus \Lambda^-_g.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'_j &= f'_j\omega + P^-_{J_k} d^-_{J'_k}\gamma'_j + P^+_{J_k} d^-_{J'_k}\gamma'_j \\ &= f'_j\omega + P^-_{J_k} d^-_{J'_k}\gamma'_j + P^-_{g_k} d^-_{J'_k}\gamma'_j. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Since $g_k|_{M\setminus\{|\xi|\leq\frac{1}{k}\}} = g'_k$, we can get $P^-_{g_k} d^-_{J'_k}\gamma'_j|_{M\setminus\{|\xi|\leq\frac{1}{k}\}} \equiv 0$. Moreover, $P^-_{g_k} d^-_{J'_k}\gamma'_j \not\equiv 0$ on $\{|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{k}\}$ and $P^-_{g_k} d^-_{J'_k}\gamma'_j|_{\{|\xi|=\frac{1}{k}\}} = 0$. Let $D'' = \{|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{k}\}$. We solve the following equations for boundary problem (cf. [10]):

$$\begin{cases} P^-_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\eta'_{j,k} = P^-_{g_k} d^-_{J'_k}\gamma'_j, \quad \text{on } D'' \\ \eta'_{j,k}|_{\partial D''} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

There exists a unique solution $\eta'_{j,k} \in \Omega^-_{g_k}(D'')$ satisfying Equations (3.12). Therefore,

$$\beta'_j = f'_j\omega + P^-_{J_k} d^-_{J'_k}\gamma'_j + d\delta_{g_k}\eta'_{j,k} - d^+_{g_k}\delta_{g_k}\eta'_{j,k},$$

$1 \leq j \leq l$. Denote by $\tilde{\beta}'_j \triangleq \beta'_j - d\delta_{g_k}\eta'_{j,k} \in \mathcal{H}^+_{g_k}$, then $[\tilde{\beta}'_j] = [\beta'_j]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta}'_j|_{M\setminus\{|\xi|\leq\frac{1}{k}\}} &= \beta'_j|_{M\setminus\{|\xi|\leq\frac{1}{k}\}} \\ &= (f'_j\omega + d^-_{J'_k}\gamma'_j)|_{M\setminus\{|\xi|\leq\frac{1}{k}\}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

So on $M \setminus \{|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{k}\}$,

$$\tilde{\beta}'_j \wedge \omega = \beta'_j \wedge \omega = f'_j\omega^2 \not\equiv 0.$$

Hence $\tilde{\beta}'_j$ contains non-trivial element $\tilde{\beta'}_{j,k} \in H^{-,\perp}_{J_k,0}$. Note that on $M \setminus \{|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{k}\}$, $J_k = J'_k$. So when restricted to $M \setminus \{|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{k}\}$, we will get $\tilde{\beta'}_{j,k} = \tilde{\beta}'_j = \beta'_j$. This implies that $\{\tilde{\beta'}_{1,k}, \cdots, \tilde{\beta'}_{l,k}\}$ are linearly independent.

On the other hand, restricted to $\{|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{k}\}$, we construct

$$L[\frac{1}{1+B_k}\omega + \frac{1}{1+B_k}(B_k d\xi^1 \wedge d\xi^3 - d\xi^2 \wedge d\xi^4)]|_{|\xi|\leq\frac{1}{k}} \in \Omega^+_{g_k}|_{|\xi|\leq\frac{1}{k}}.$$

It is easy to see that it is d-closed. Choose a cut-off function

$$\varphi'_k(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\xi| \leq \frac{1}{4k} \\ \\ 0, & |\xi| \geq \frac{1}{k}. \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

By using gluing operation (cf. [21, 23]), define one element of $\Omega^2(M)$ as follows

$$\beta_{l+1} = (1-\varphi'_k)\alpha'_m|_{M\setminus\{|\xi|<\frac{1}{k}\}} + \varphi'_k L[\frac{1}{1+B_k}\omega + \frac{1}{1+B_k}(B_k d\xi^1\wedge d\xi^3 - d\xi^2\wedge d\xi^4)]. \tag{3.15}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\beta_{l+1}|_{|\xi|\le\frac{1}{4k}} = L[\frac{1}{1+B_k}\omega + \frac{1}{1+B_k}(B_k d\xi^1\wedge d\xi^3 - d\xi^2\wedge d\xi^4)] \in \Omega^+_{g_k}|_{|\xi|\le\frac{1}{4k}} \tag{3.16}$$

which is d-closed. Construct a self-dual 2-form $\beta'_{l+1} \triangleq P^+_{g_k}\beta_{l+1}$. It is easy to see that $\beta'_{l+1}|_{|\xi|\le\frac{1}{4k}} = \beta_{l+1}$ that is d-closed, $\beta'_{l+1}|_{|\xi|\ge\frac{1}{k}} = \alpha'_m$ is also d-closed. Since

$$P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k} : \Omega^+_{g_k} \to \Omega^+_{g_k}$$

is a self-adjoint strongly elliptic operator, β'_{l+1} can be written as

$$\beta'_{l+1} = \tilde{\beta}'_{l+1} + P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}, \tag{3.17}$$

where $\tilde{\beta}'_{l+1} \in \mathcal{H}^+_{g_k}$ and $\gamma'_{l+1} \in \Omega^+_{g_k}$. Note that $P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}$ is closed on $\{|\xi| < \frac{1}{4k}\}$. We claim that

$$P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}|_{|\xi|<\frac{1}{4k}} \equiv 0. \tag{3.18}$$

Otherwise, we assume that there exists a point $p \in \{|\xi| < \frac{1}{4k}\}$ such that

$$P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}(p) \neq 0.$$

Since $P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}$ is smooth on $\{|\xi| < \frac{1}{4k}\}$, then there exists $0 < \delta_1 < \frac{1}{4k}$ such that $P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}$ has no zero point on $B_{\delta_1}(p) \subset\subset \{|\xi| < \frac{1}{4k}\}$, where $B_{\delta_1}(p) = \{\xi : |\xi - p| < \delta_1\}$. Thus for any δ, $0 < \delta < \delta_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_\delta(p)} |P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}|^2_{g_k} dvol_{g_k} &= \int_{B_\delta(p)} P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}\wedge P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1} \\
&= \int_{B_\delta(p)} P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}\wedge d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1} \\
&= \int_{B_\delta(p)} d(P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}\wedge\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}) \\
&\quad - \int_{B_\delta(p)} dP^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}\wedge\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1} \\
&= \int_{\partial B_\delta(p)} P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}\wedge\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So $P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}\wedge\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1} \not\equiv 0$ on $B_{\delta_1}(p)$. Assume that there exists a point $q \in B_{\delta_1}(p)$ such that $P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}\wedge\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}(q) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}\wedge\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}$ has no zero point on $B_{\delta_2}(q)$, where $B_{\delta_2}(q) \subset\subset B_{\delta_1}(p)$ and $B_{\delta_2}(q) = \{\xi \in B_{\delta_1}(p) : |\xi - q| < \delta_2\}$. On $B_{\delta_2}(q)$, we still have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{\delta_2}(q)} |P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}|^2_{g_k} dvol_{g_k} &= \int_{\partial B_{\delta_2}(q)} P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}\wedge\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1} \\
&= \int_{\partial B_{\delta_2}(q)} |P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}\wedge\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}|_{g_k} dvol_{g_k}(\partial B_{\delta_2}(q)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Assume that δ_2 is small enough. Make taylor expansion for $|P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}|^2_{g_k}$ and $|P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1} \wedge \delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}|_{g_k}$ on $B_{\delta_2}(q)$, respectively. Then we will get

$$|P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}(\xi)|^2_{g_k} = |P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}(q)|^2_{g_k} + O(|\xi - q|) \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$|P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1} \wedge \delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}(\xi)|_{g_k} = |P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1} \wedge \delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}(q)|_{g_k} + O(|\xi - q|) \tag{3.21}$$

Substitute Equation (3.20) and Equation (3.21) into Equation (3.19), and we will get the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} & |P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}(q)|^2_{g_k} \cdot vol_{g_k}(B_{\delta_2}(q)) + O(\delta_2^5) \\ = \; & |P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1} \wedge \delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}(q)|_{g_k} \cdot vol_{g_k}(\partial B_{\delta_2}(q)) + O(\delta_2^4). \end{aligned}$$

Both sides of the above equation divided by $vol_{g_k}(\partial B_{\delta_2}(q))$, and letting $\delta_2 \to 0$, we get

$$|P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1} \wedge \delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}(q)|_{g_k} = 0.$$

It contradicts the assumption that $P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1} \wedge \delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}(q) \neq 0$. Thus far, we have proven the claim (3.18):

$$P^+_{g_k} d\delta_{g_k}\gamma'_{l+1}|_{|\xi|<\frac{1}{4k}} \equiv 0.$$

Restricted to $\{|\xi| < \frac{1}{4k}\}$, $\beta'_{l+1} = \tilde{\beta}'_{l+1}$. Hence,

$$\tilde{\beta}'_{l+1} \wedge \omega = \beta'_{l+1} \wedge \omega = \beta_{l+1} \wedge \omega = \frac{L}{1 + B_k}\omega^2 \not\equiv 0.$$

Thus, $\tilde{\beta}'_{l+1}$ contains non-trivial element $\tilde{\beta}'_{l+1,k} \in H^{-,\perp}_{J_k,0}$. Note that $\tilde{\beta}'_{l+1} = \tilde{f}'_{l+1}\omega + P^-_{J_k} d\tilde{\gamma}'_{l+1}$, $\mathrm{supp}\tilde{f}'_{l+1} \subset U_p$ and $\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{supp}\tilde{f}'_{l+1}) < \frac{\mu_J}{3}$. Then $\{\tilde{\beta}'_{1,k}, \cdots, \tilde{\beta}'_{l,k}, \tilde{\beta}'_{l+1,k}\}$ is a part of basis of $H^{-,\perp}_{J_k,0}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3. □

References

[1] T. Aubin, Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[2] M. Audin, Symplectic and almost complex manifolds, with an appendix by P. Gauduchon, Progress in Math. **117**, Holomorphic Curves in Symplectic Geometry, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994, pp. 41–74.

[3] C. Bär, On nodal sets for Dirac and Laplace operators, Comm. Math. Phys. **188** (1997) 709–721.

[4] W. Barth, K. Hulek, C. Peters, A. Van de Ven, Compact Complex Surfaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[5] S. K. Donaldson, P. B. Kronheimer, The geometry of four-manifolds, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, New York, 1990.

[6] T. Draghici, Private communication, July 2013.

[7] T. Draghici, T.-J. Li, W. Zhang, Symplectic forms and cohomology decomposition of almost complex four-manifolds, Int. Math. Res. Not. **1** (2010) 1–17.

[8] T. Draghici, T.-J. Li, W. Zhang, On the J-anti-invariant cohomology of almost complex 4-manifolds, Quaterly J. Math. **64** (2013) 83–111.

[9] P. Gauduchon, Le théorème de l'excetricité nulle, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris. Série A **285** (1977) 387–390.

[10] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, 2nd ed., Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer, 1983.

[11] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, Introduction to symplectic topology, Second edition, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 1998.

[12] J. Kim, A closed symplectic four-manifold has almost Kähler metrics of negative scalar curvature, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **33** (2008) 125–136.

[13] K. Kodaira, J. Morrow, Complex Manifolds, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1971.

[14] M. Lejmi, Strictly nearly Kähler 6-manifolds are not compatible with symplectic forms, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **34** (2006) 759–762.

[15] M. Lejmi, Stability under deformations of extremal almost-Kähler metrics in dimension 4, Math. Res. Lett. **17** (2010) 601–612.

[16] M. Lejmi, Stability under deformations of Hermitian-Einstein almost-Kähler metrics, arXiv:1204.5438v1, 2012.

[17] T.-J. Li, W. Zhang, Comparing tamed and compatible symplectic cones and cohomological properties of almost complex manifolds, Comm. Anal. Geom. **17** (2009) 651–684.

[18] S. Salamon, Special structures on four-manifolds, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4) **17*** (1993) 109–123.

[19] Q. Tan, H. Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, P. Zhu, On cohomology of almost complex 4-manifolds, J. Geom. Anal. **25** (2015) 1431–1443.

[20] Q. Tan, H. Y. Wang, J. R. Zhou, Primitive cohomology of real degree two on compact symplectic manifolds, Manuscripta Math. (online) DOI: 10.1007/s00229-015-0761-7.

[21] C. H. Taubes, Self-dual connections on 4-manifolds with indefinite intersection matrix, J. Diff. Geom. **19** (1984) 517–560.

[22] C. H. Taubes, Private communication, July 2013.

[23] H. Y. Wang, The existence of nonminimal solutions to the Yang-Mills equation with group $SU(2)$ on $S^2 \times S^2$ and $S^1 \times S^3$, J. Diff. Geom. **34** (1991) 701–767.

Qiang Tan
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei 430072, China
e-mail: tanqiang1986@hotmail.com

Hongyu Wang
School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225002, China
e-mail: hywang@yzu.edu.cn

Jiuru Zhou
School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225002, China
e-mail: zhoujr1982@hotmail.com